

Some people believe that unpaid community service should be a compulsory part of high school programmes (for example working for a charity, improving the neighbourhood or teaching sports to younger children).

To what extent do you agree or disagree?

In this day and age, a large number of societies who are seeking for juveniles for the participation in social NGOs. Although these communities predominantly hire their member voluntary, some socialists claim that there should be an obligation for high school students to attend them.

This policy would lay a basic groundwork for these adolescents to provide their hands-on experiences over these kinds of jobs, Meaning that they practically learn how to encounter their impending duties, and they could ameliorate their future career prospects. Besides, it may serve a great opportunity for them to be well-drilled about ethical significances, on account of devoting their knowledge, information, and services with other people from all wakes of life, undoubtedly This is what a utopia entails to be born in.

On the other hand, since the implementation of this practices might cope them with numerous difficulties, they grasp that earning money would come at a high price, which lead them to take their parents into account, who shoulder the financial burden of household expenses. Consequently, they may economically advance their families as they be recruited for a job position. This action presumably could enhance social communities owing to the young manpower, who seems to have a boundless energy about contribution in society, and are mainly partial to helping other residents; therefore, this enthusiasm will be injected to the all of the masses.

In conclusion, this approach will not only be adequate for these pupils who are **in the prime of their lives**, but it will satisfy these communities requirements. Nonetheless, It should be borne in mind that, as these practice is fundamentally in a volunteer form, there should be less mandatory.